

CRITERION 6- GOVERNANCE, LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT

Key Indicator - 6.3 Faculty Empowerment Strategies

6.3.5 Institutions Performance Appraisal System for teaching and nonteaching staff

Response:

The institution has performance based appraisal system for the Assessment of teaching and non-teaching staff. The Appraisal report is based on the Annual performance of the employ on the basis of their academic, research and other extracurricular activities. It is also based upon his/her relation with the students, colleagues and administration. PAS system encourages the faculty members to make excellent performance in teaching –learning and research.

A structured staff evaluation form is made use of by each faculty member for this purpose wherein the faculty gives the details of his/her performance and participation in all the activities assigned by the department /college. The performance of each employee is assessed annually after completion of one year of service.

Student satisfaction is given utmost importance at the Institute and hence it is a part of the faculty appraisal system. The feedback helps to review and improve the quality of the teaching-learning processes and measure the effectiveness of course design and delivery.

Teaching Staff

The self-appraisal submitted by the faculty is analyzed for the fulfillment of following criteria:

- 1. Evaluation of teaching
- 2. Research & Development
- 3. Administrative work
- 4. Extension Activities.
- 5. Attendance & Punctuality
- 6. Adaptability/Response to change



Purpose of the self-appraisal process:

The objective is not only to objectively evaluate the performance as per established norms, but also to identify potential aspects for improvement that can eventually lead to further progress and growth of the employee.

To observe, discuss, and assess the previous semester's performance. This includes the opportunity to talk about performance strengths and areas that need improvement. Completing the form also provides an opportunity for the reviewing/ reporting officer and faculty/staff to identify professional development needs and, if necessary, an "action plan" for improving performance.

- The HOD concerned and Principal gives their remarks on the performance of the faculty member.
- Based on this performance appraisal and the feedback obtained from students and peers, the teachers are given promotion, increments, and other facilities.

The Staff Appraisal method has motivated the faculty to

- Pursue higher studies.
- Publish research papers in reputed journals and books.
- Participate and present papers in International and National Seminars and Conferences.
- Submit well-prepared research proposals to the funding agencies.
- Participate actively in all the development programs.
- Apply more effective teaching methodologies during lecture deliveries.
- Faculties are motivated to work more for the betterment and 360-degree development of the students.
- Self motivation and development of Faculty also.

Outcomes of Appraisals

• As part of faculty improvement, performance reviews after appraisal procedures are done by Management and Director and appropriate measures are taken to upgrade and bring in positive changes in the institute.

• Assessments are made with respect to the outcome of goals set by faculty members in terms of teaching, research, and contribution to the institution.



• The faculty is intimated about the outcome of appraisals that identify the strength of faculty, areas requiring improvement and quality of teaching.

• Faculties who have obtained feedback below the desired points are counseled by the HOD and Principal.

• The appraisal system helps in improving Teaching-Learning process, earmarking areas for improvement and identifying leadership qualities for future responsibilities and training needs for development of faculty and the institute.

Non-Teaching Staff

All non-teaching staff are also assessed through annual confidential reports and annual performance appraisal. The various parameters for staff members are assessed under different categories i.e. Job Knowledge& Technology Adaptation, Attendance, Leave & Time Management Departmental Abilities, Reliability, Relations/Co-operation with superiors, subordinates, colleagues, students and public, efficient organization of documents (in case of Office Staff) and technical abilities (in case of Laboratory staff).



PRINCIPAL

Dr. V. UMADEVI PRINCIPAL NEW PRINCE SHRI BHAVANI ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE MEDAVAKKAM, CHENNAI - 600 100 **NEW PRINCE SHRI BHAVANI ARTS & SCIENCE COLLEGE**



(An ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution & Affiliated to University of Madras) Patel Road, Medavakkam, Chennai-100.

FACULTY'S PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

NAME OF THE FACULTY: _____ DESIGNATION: _____

DEPARTMENT: _____ ACADEMIC YEAR: _____

S.No.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARH ALLOTTED	KS	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
		Feedback score<= 1	0		
1	Student Feedback	Feedback score : 2	5		
1	Student Feedback	Feedback score : 3	10		
		Feedback score : 4	15		
		Result <= 49	0		
2	University Result	50 <= Result <= 69	5		
		70 <= Result <= 89	10		
		90 <= Result <= 100	15		
3	Publication in SCI/ Scopus Indexed /	One paper published	5		
	UGC CARE listed Journals	More than two paper	20 (Incl. 10 bonus)		
4	Publication in Other Indexed journals	2			
5	Publication in International Conferences	2			
6	Publication in National Conferences	1			
7	Books /Chapters published	5			
8	Awards / Achievements	5			
9	Contribution to Department (Organize / Coordinate)	5			
10	Contribution to College (Organize / Coordinate)	10			
11	Membership/Reviewer/Editor in Reputed Journals	5			
12	Faculty Interaction with Outside world	5			
13	Overall Assessment by HOD	10			
	то	TAL MARKS (100)- CRE	DIT [A]		

DEBITS:

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS TO BE DEBITED	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
1	Loss of Pay	1 Mark for each Instance		
2	Мето	5 Marks for each Memo		

TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED: CREDITS - DEBITS = [A] - [B] =

(To be filled by H.O.D.)

DATE:

RECOMMENDATION OF THE H.O.D.: YES / NO

SIGNATURE OF THE H.O.D.

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

PERCENTAGE OF INCREMENT FOR THE MARKS OBTAINED:

ACTUAL INCREMENT: Rs.	

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRINCIPAL: YES / NO

PRINCIPAL

DIRECTOR





FACULTY'S PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

NAME OF THE FACULTY: Antony KTOLESA Infantas DESIGNATION: Assistant Profession DEPARTMENT: Biofechnology

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019 - 2020

S. NO		MAXIMUM MAI ALLOTTEI		SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
		Feedback score<=	0		
1	Student Feedback	Feedback score : 2	5	15	15
		Feedback score : 3	10		1.0
		Feedback score : 4	15		
		Result <= 49	0		
2	University Result	50 <= Result <= 69	. 5	15	15
-		70 <= Result <= 89	10		12
		90 <= Result <= 100	15		
3	Publication in SCI/ Scopus Indexed / UGC CARE listed Journals	One paper published	5	10	10
3		More than two paper	20 (Incl. 10 bonus)		
4	Publication in Other Indexed journals	2		-	-
5	Publication in International Conferences	2		-	-
6	Publication in National Conferences	1		-	-
7	Books /Chapters published	5		40 ° -	•
8	Awards / Achievements	5		-	~
9	Contribution to Department (Organize / Coordinate)	5		5	h
0	Contribution to College (Organize / Coordinate)	10		6	8
	Membership/Reviewer/Editor in Reputed Journals	5		~	۲ ۲
	Faculty Interaction with Outside world	5		~	<u> </u>
3	Overall Assessment by HOD	10		9	9
10-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-	το	TAL MARKS (100)- CRE	DIT [A]	60	62

DEBITS :

8. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS TO BE DEBITED	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
- Andreada	LOBB of Pay	1 Mark for each Instance		
	Memo	5 Marks for each Memo		
		TOTAL MARKS - DEBIT [B]	AL AND	

TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED: CREDITS - DEBITS = [A] - [B] =

(To be filled by H.O.D.)

. In

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY

N. Sant

SIGNATURE OF THE H.O.D.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE H.O.D.: YES / NO

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

PERCENTAGE OF INCREMENT FOR THE MARKS OBTAINED:

ACTUAL INCREMENT: Rs.

DATE: 7/5/2020

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRINCIPAL: YES / NO

PRINCIPAL

DIRECTOR







FACULTY'S PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

NAME OF THE FACULTY: K. Meera. Designation: Associate Professor

DEPARTMENT: Commerce ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS ALLOTTED		SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
		Feedback score<= 1	0		7
1	Student Feedback	Feedback score : 2	5	15	15
		Feedback score : 3	10		
		Feedback score : 4	15		
		Result <= 49	0	1.1.2	
2	University Result	50 <= Result <= 69	. 5	15	
		70 <= Result <= 89	10	.)	12
		90 <= Result <= 100	15		
3	Publication in SCI/ Scopus Indexed / UGC CARE listed Journals	One paper published	5	8	
		More than two paper	20 (Incl. 10 bonus)	5	2
4	Publication in Other Indexed journals	2		-	1
5	Publication in International Conferences	2			-
6	Publication in National Conferences	1		_	-
7	Books /Chapters published	5		(P. C.)	-
8	Awards / Achievements	5		5	5
9	Contribution to Department (Organize / Coordinate)	5		5	5
10	Contribution to College (Organize / Coordinate)	10		8	8
11	Membership/Reviewer/Editor in Reputed Journals	5		_	-
12	Faculty Interaction with Outside world	5			-
13	Overall Assessment by HOD	10		8	9
)TT	DTAL MARKS (100)- CR	EDIT [A]	61	62

DEBITS :

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS TO BE DEBITED	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
1	Loss of Pay	1 Mark for each Instance		
2	Memo	5 Marks for each Memo		
		TOTAL MARKS - DEBIT [B]		

TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED: CREDITS - DEBITS = [A] - [B] =

(To be filled by H.O.D.)

62

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY

RECOMMENDATION OF THE H.O.D.: YES / NO

SIGNATURE OF THE H.O.D.

chateson - de

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

PERCENTAGE OF INCREMENT FOR THE MARKS OBTAINED:

ACTUAL INCREMENT: Rs.

DATE: 5 5 2020

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRINCIPAL: YES / NO

PRINCIPAL

DIRECTOR







FACULTY'S PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

NAME OF THE FACULTY: Dr. S. Kabila vatha	mDESIGNATION: ABSO	vale professor,
DEPARTMENT: <u>Commerce</u>		

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS ALLOTTED		SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
		Feedback score<=	0		
1	Student Feedback	Feedback score : 2	5	15	15
		Feedback score : 3	10		
		Feedback score : 4	15		
		Result <= 49	0	~	*
2	University Result	50 <= Result <= 69	. 5	15	15
		70 <= Result <= 89	10		
		90 <= Result <= 100	15		
3	Publication in SCI/ Scopus Indexed /	One paper published	5		_
	UGC CARE listed Journals	More than two paper	20 (Incl. 10 bonus)	h	5
4	Publication in Other Indexed journals	2		•	~
5	Publication in International Conferences	2		(-
6	Publication in National Conferences	1		l	1
7	Books /Chapters published	5		(94) · •	1
8	Awards / Achievements	5		1	1
9	Contribution to Department (Organize / Coordinate)	5		5	24
10	Contribution to College (Organize / Coordinate)	10		7	7
11	Membership/Reviewer/Editor in Reputed Journals	5		1	۲
12	Faculty Interaction with Outside world	5		~	-
13	Overall Assessment by HOD	10		8	8
	, T C	DTAL MARKS (100)- CR	EDIT [A]	56	54

DEBITS:

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS TO BE DEBITED	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
1 .	Loss of Pay	1 Mark for each Instance		
2	Memo	5 Marks for each Memo	Service State (Ser	
		TOTAL MARKS - DEBIT [B]		

TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED: CREDITS - DEBITS = [A] - [B] = 54

DATE: 4 5 2020

RECOMMENDATION OF THE H.O.D.: YES /-NO-

8 N.

SIGNATURE OF THE H.O.D.

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY

(To be filled by H.O.D.)

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

PERCENTAGE OF INCREMENT FOR THE MARKS OBTAINED:

ACTUAL INCREMENT: Rs.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRINCIPAL: YES / NO

PRINCIPAL

DIRECTOR







FACULTY'SPERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

NAME OF THE FACULTY: <u>Dr.S. Indumoth</u> designation: <u>Assistant Rofessor</u> Department: <u>Bidchemistry</u> academic year: <u>2019</u>-2020

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARI ALLOTTED	KS	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
		Feedback score<= 1	0		
	Student Feedback	Feedback score : 2	5	11-	
1		Feedback score : 3	10	15	12
		Feedback score : 4	15		
		Result <= 49	0		
2	University Regult	50 <= Result <= 69	5	15	
4	University Result	70 <= Result <= 89	10		12
		90 <= Result <= 100	15		
	Publication in SCI/ Scopus Indexed /	One paper published	5		5
3	UGC CARE listed Journals	More than two paper	20 (Incl. 10 bonus)		
4	Publication in Other Indexed journals	2		a	2
5	Publication in International Conferences	2	2 - ¹	<u>-</u>	
6	Publication in National Conferences	1		-	
7	Books /Chapters published	5		5	5
8	Awards / Achievements	5		5	5
9	Contribution to Department (Organize / Coordinate)	5		5	5
10	Contribution to College (Organize / Coordinate)	10		8	8
11	Membership/Reviewer/Editor in Reputed Journals	5		5.	ú
12	Faculty Interaction with Outside world	5		3	3
13	Overall Assessment by HOD	10	24	8	8
	TOTAL	MARKS (100)- CREDIT [A]	769	76





DEBITS:

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS TO BE DEBITED	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
1	Loss of Pay	1 Mark for each Instance		
2	Memo	5 Marks for each Memo		
	and the second	TOTAL MARKS - DEBIT[B]		A.A.

TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED: CREDITS - DEBITS = [A] - [B] =

(To be filled by H.O.D.)

DATE: 5/2020 SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY

RECOMMENDATION OF THE H.O.D.: YES/NO

Cele

SIGNATURE OF THE H.O.D.

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

PERCENTAGE OF INCREMENT FOR THE MARKS OBTAINED:

ACTUAL INCREMENT:Rs.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRINCIPAL: YES / NO

PRINCIPAL





FACULTY'S PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

NAME OF THE FACULTY: Dr. R. Uthe designation: ASSOCIATE Professor DEPARTMENT: Commerce academic year: 2018-4

S.No.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS ALLOTTED		SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
1	Student Feedback	Feedback score<= 1	0	15	15
		Feedback score : 2	5		
		Feedback score : 3	10		
		Feedback score : 4	15		
		Result <= 49	0		
		50 <= Result <= 69	5		
2	University Result	70 <= Result <= 89	10	10	10
		90 <= Result <= 100	15	10	
3	Publication in SCI/ Scopus Indexed /	One paper published	5	5	
3	UGC CARE listed Journals	More than two paper	20 (Incl. 10 bonus)		5
4	Publication in Other Indexed journals	2		1	1
5	Publication in International Conferences	2		1	-
6	Publication in National Conferences	1		F	,
7	Books /Chapters published	5		-	1
8	Awards / Achievements	5	5		+
9	Contribution to Department (Organize / Coordinate)	5	5		4
10	Contribution to College (Organize / Coordinate)	10		8	6
11	Membership/Reviewer/Editor in Reputed Journals	5		-	-
12	Faculty Interaction with Outside world	5		terra.	-
13	Overall Assessment by HOD	10		8	-
TOTAL MARKS (100)- CREDIT [A]			51	47	

DEBITS;

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS TO BE DEBITED	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
1	Loss of Pay	1 Mark for each Instance		
2	Memo	5 Marks for each Memo		
		TOTAL MARKS - DEBIT[B]		

TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED: CREDITS - DEBITS = [A] - [B] =

(To be filled by H.O.D.)

47

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY

SIGNATURE OF THE H.O.D.

DATE: 07.05.2020

RECOMMENDATION OF THE H.O.D.: VES/NO-

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

PERCENTAGE OF INCREMENT FOR THE MARKS OBTAINED:

ACTUAL INCREMENT:Rs.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRINCIPAL: YES / NO

PRINCIPAL

DIRECTOR







FACULTY'S PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

NAME OF THE FACULTY: P. calista Bebe Designation: Assistant Professor DEPARTMENT: Computer Science ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020

S. NO		MAXIMUM MARKS ALLOTTED		SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
		Feedback score<= 1	0		
1	Student Feedback	Feedback score : 2	5	15	
		Feedback score : 3	10		15
		Feedback score : 4	15		
		Result <= 49	0		
2	University Result	50 <= Result <= 69	5	1	10
		70 <= Result <= 89	10	10	
		90 <= Result <= 100	15		
3	Publication in SCI/ Scopus Indexed /	One paper published	5		
	UGC CARE listed Journals	More than two paper	20 (Incl. 10 bonus)	2,0	20
4	Publication in Other Indexed journals	2		2	2
5	Publication in International Conferences	2		- d	
6	Publication in National Conferences	1		-	
7	Books /Chapters published	5		5	5
8	Awards / Achievements	5		5	5
9	Contribution to Department (Organize / Coordinate)	5		Å	4
10	Contribution to College (Organize / Coordinate)	10		9	8
11	Membership/Reviewer/Editor in Reputed Journals	5		5	5
12	Faculty Interaction with Outside world	5		4	4
13	Overall Assessment by HOD	10		9	9
	TOTAL I	MARKS (100)- CREDIT [A	N)	88	87

DEBITS:

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS TO BE DEBITED	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
1	Loss of Pay	1 Mark for each Instance		
2	Memo	5 Marks for each Memo		
		TOTAL MARKS - DEBIT[B]		

TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED: CREDITS - DEBITS = [A] - [B] = 8

(To be filled by H.O.D.)

DATE: 6.5.20

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY

SIGNATURE OF THE H.O.D.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE H.O.D.: YES/NO

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

PERCENTAGE OF INCREMENT FOR THE MARKS OBTAINED:_____

ACTUAL INCREMENT:Rs.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRINCIPAL: YES / NO

PRINCIPAL

DIRECTOR









FACULTY'S PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

NAME OF THE FACULTY: DR.R. AZHAWU SENTHIL RADPO DESIGNATION: HOD, ASSOCIATE PROFESSO

DEPARTMENT: PG DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-20

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MAR ALLOTTED	and the second	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
		Feedback score<= 1	0		
1	Student Feedback	Feedback score : 2	5		
		Feedback score : 3	10		12
		Feedback score : 4	15	15	1
	¢.	Result <= 49	0	. *	4
2	University Result	50 <= Result <= 69	. 5	*	
		70 <= Result <= 89	10		15
2		90 <= Result <= 100	15	15	,
3	Publication in SCI/ Scopus Indexed / UGC CARE listed Journals	One paper published	5		
		More than two paper	20 (Incl. 10 bonus)	5	5
4	Publication in Other Indexed journals	2			
5	Publication in International Conferences	2		2	2
6	Publication in National Conferences	1			Chai.
7	Books /Chapters published	5		100-0	
8	Awards / Achievements	5		F	15
9	Contribution to Department (Organize / Coordinate)			<u> 5 </u>	<u>~</u>
10	Contribution to College (Organize / Coordinate)	<u>5</u>			a
11	Membership/Reviewer/Editor in Reputed Journals	5		10	5
12	Faculty Interaction with Outside world	5		2	
13	Overall Assessment by HOD	10		10	9
	T	DTAL MARKS (100)- CR	EDIT [A]	77	75

DEBITS :

S. NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS TO BE DEBITED	SELF EVALUATION BY STAFF	FINAL EVALUATION BY H.O.D.
1	Loss of Pay	1 Mark for each Instance		
2	Memo	5 Marks for each Memo		
		TOTAL MARKS - DEBIT [B]		and the second

TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED: CREDITS - DEBITS = [A] - [B] =

DATE: 08 05 2020

RECOMMENDATION OF THE H.O.D.: YES / NO

R.A.L. Littel SIGNATURE OF THE H.O.D.

STATE .

R. A.H. Whith VL SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY

(To be filled by H.O.D.)

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

PERCENTAGE OF INCREMENT FOR THE MARKS OBTAINED:

ACTUAL INCREMENT: Rs.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRINCIPAL: YES / NO

PRINCIPAL

DIRECTOR

CHAIRMAN

1 4

